

AI-BASED DECISION MAKING IN MACRO AND MICROECONOMICS: TOWARD OPTIMAL EFFICIENCY

Loso Judijanto *¹

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia
losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Bahrhun Thalib

Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Khairun
bahrhunthalib@unkhair.ac.id

Haryanto

Universitas Dharma AUB Surakarta
haryanto@stmik-aub.ac.id

Al-Amin

Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia
al.amin-2024@feb.unair.ac.id

Abstract

In the last decade, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has moved from being a futuristic concept to a critical component of economic decision-making. The use of AI has been extended to various aspects of the economy, ranging from strategic decision-making at the firm level to macroeconomic policy at the government level. This study aims to examine the impact of AI on decision-making in macro and microeconomics, and understand how optimal efficiency can be achieved through the implementation of this technology. The study conducted in this research utilizes the literature research method. The results of this study show that AI has the potential to increase economic growth due to increased productivity and operational efficiency. At the macro level, AI contributes to more accurate policy planning and efficient resource management. At the micro level, AI supports businesses in gaining competitive advantage through supply chain optimization, personalization of service offerings, and better customer data management. However, the findings also emphasize the importance of addressing ethical, privacy, and accessibility challenges to ensure that the benefits of AI are widely and equitably enjoyed.

Keywords: AI-Based Decision Making, Macroeconomics, Microeconomics, Optimal Efficiency.

Introduction

In the era of globalization and rapid technological advances, artificial intelligence (AI) has become one of the important elements in supporting the efficiency and effectiveness of various sectors, including in macro and microeconomics (Alexander et

¹ Correspondence author.

al., 2023). In economics, fast, precise, and accurate decision-making is the key to success. This encourages economic actors to start looking at the use of AI as a tool to get optimal strategic decisions (Alhelou & Golshan, 2020).

Economics is a social science that studies how people choose to use scarce resources. In studying economics, we distinguish it into two main branches, namely micro and macro economics (Alkadash et al., 2023). Microeconomics focuses on the behavior of individuals and firms in making decisions about the use of resources and their interactions in the market. Aspects studied include price, demand, and supply of goods or services (Arend, 2024). Meanwhile, macroeconomics looks at the economy from a broader perspective, namely how the economy of a country or the world functions as a whole (Bellos, 2022). This includes analyzing economic growth, inflation, unemployment, and government policies. These two branches of economics are interrelated and equally important for understanding various economic phenomena that occur in society. With the ability to process large amounts of data (big data) and perform complex analysis, AI has the potential to enhance the decision-making process (Bentley & Bradford, 2023).

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) technology has revolutionized various sectors of the economy, playing an important role in optimizing productivity, innovation, and efficiency. AI has enabled the automation of complex processes, from manufacturing to customer service, changing the way industries work and creating new market opportunities (Berber, 2023). In big data analysis, AI provides deep insights that help companies make more informed strategic decisions. With AI, new business models have evolved, facilitating value creation and economic growth. However, these developments also pose challenges to the workforce and require innovative policies to manage labor market transitions and ensure that the economic benefits of AI are enjoyed by all. As such, AI is not only revolutionizing industries but also driving economic and social policy reforms for the future (Braaten, 2022).

However, the integration of AI in the economy also poses significant challenges. Among them are the availability and accessibility of quality data, the ethical use of data, and the challenges of adaptation by the existing workforce and infrastructure. In addition, there is a knowledge gap in the applications and outcomes that can be obtained through the utilization of AI in economic decision-making (Caro-Burnett & Kaneko, 2022).

Therefore, it is important to conduct an in-depth study on how AI can be utilized in macro- and microeconomic decision-making to achieve optimal efficiency, and understand the challenges that may arise. This study is expected to provide new insights and recommendations that can be utilized by economic actors, both at the macro and micro level, in adopting AI technology.

Against the background of these issues, this study aims to explore the potential utilization of AI in economic decision-making, assess the impact of its use, and identify

and offer solutions to the challenges. Ultimately, this research is expected to contribute to the academic literature and the practice of more innovative and efficient economic decision-making.

Research Methods

The study conducted in this research uses the literature research method. The literature research method is an approach in research that relies on existing information sources such as books, journal articles, theses, reports, and digital materials to collect data, analyze, and interpret certain phenomena (Abdussamad, 2022); (Adlini et al., 2022); (Afiyanti, 2008).

Results and Discussion

Macro and Micro Economics

Macroeconomics is a branch of economics that studies the behavior and performance of the entire economy of a country or globally. Its main focus is on economic aggregates such as total national output (GDP), unemployment rate, and inflation, as well as how economic policies and external factors such as monetary and fiscal policies, international market fluctuations, and demographic changes can affect these variables (Chernega et al., 2021). The main objective of macroeconomics is to understand the factors that drive long-term economic growth and stability, and also to formulate policies that can minimize fluctuations in the business cycle in order to achieve sustainable growth rates and stable economic conditions for society (Chia, 2021).

In macroeconomics, there are several key indicators used to measure a country's economic health and performance. These indicators provide an overview of current economic conditions as well as future economic projections. The three main indicators in macroeconomics include Gross Domestic Product (GDP), unemployment rate, and inflation rate. GDP reflects the total market value of all goods and services produced by a country in a given period, providing a snapshot of the economy's size and growth rate (Cummings, 2024). The unemployment rate measures the percentage of the labor force that is actively looking for work but cannot find a job, providing an indication of labor surplus and labor market health. Inflation, on the other hand, is the rate at which the prices of goods and services increase, giving an indication of the purchasing power of the currency (Facchini et al., 2020).

In addition to these main indicators, there are several other very important indicators, such as the Balance of Payments, which covers a country's international economic transactions, reflects the movement of money between countries and affects the exchange rate. Another indicator is the consumer price index (CPI) which measures the change in the average price of a basket of goods and services consumed by households, providing a snapshot of the consumer inflation rate (Farhadi, 2024). The

central bank interest rate is also an important indicator, which affects borrowing and saving in the economy, and directly affects investment and consumption. By observing and analyzing these indicators, policymakers and economists can make more informed decisions to guide the economy towards stability and growth (Gachot, 2020).

Meanwhile, microeconomics is a branch of economics that focuses on the behavior and decisions of individuals and firms under conditions of scarcity and their interactions in the market. Analysis in microeconomics includes the study of various aspects such as demand and supply, elasticity, equilibrium prices, economic efficiency, and the effect of various government policies on economic actors (George et al., 2023). Microeconomics aims to understand the price formation mechanism and how resources are allocated efficiently at the individual and firm levels, so as to maximize consumer satisfaction, firm profits, and overall social welfare (Ghanvatkar & Rajan, 2024).

The determinants in microeconomics vary depending on the diverse aspects that affect the decisions of economic subjects such as households, firms, and governments. First of all, price substitution and income effects are the two main drivers behind end-user demand. For example, if the price of a good rises, consumers tend to reduce their consumption (substitution effect) or switch to a substitute good (income effect) as the purchase of that good becomes more expensive relative to their income (Guercini, 2023). On the other hand, the cost of production, including the prices of raw materials, labor, technology, and other production factors, is a major determinant in the decision to provide goods and services. Production efficiency and market structures such as perfect competition, monopoly, oligopoly, and monopolistic competition play a role in determining firms' pricing and output strategies (Guo et al., 2023).

In addition, consumer expectations and preferences can change market trends and trigger innovation and competition among firms to create products that meet or create market needs. Regulations and policies outlined by the government, such as taxes, subsidies, and quality standards, also play an important role in influencing economic activity by limiting or stimulating certain parts of the market (Hajisafi, 2023). As time progresses, technological developments, changes in social and human capital, and global market dynamics are also important aspects that influence microeconomics, determining how resources are allocated and how effectively firms can respond to changes in demand and market conditions (Hasannejadasl et al., 2023).

As such, microeconomics plays a crucial role in understanding economic dynamics from the smallest scale, focusing on individuals and businesses. Influencing factors such as prices, production costs, consumer preferences, market structure, and government policies significantly determine how resources are allocated, how goods and services are produced and consumed, and how economic decisions are made at the individual and firm levels. Combining this understanding with appropriate analysis helps in the development of effective strategies for growth and adaptation in a changing economy, while maximizing efficiency and collective welfare.

The Potential of AI in Economic Decision Making

Artificial intelligence (AI) has great potential to change the way economic decisions are made at both micro and macro scales. At the enterprise level, AI can assist in optimizing operations, forecasting market trends, and improving production efficiency through automation and advanced data processing (Hsieh, 2023). With the ability to analyze large amounts of data quickly and accurately, AI helps managers and decision-makers identify patterns and trends that are invisible to conventional human analysis. This enables businesses to respond proactively to market changes and consumer preferences, as well as optimize the use of resources to minimize costs and maximize profits (Huang & Wang, 2023).

At the public policy level, AI offers the potential to improve the design and implementation of economic policies. By utilizing predictive modeling and simulation, policymakers can better understand the potential impact of various policies before they are implemented (Hüllmann, 2022). For example, AI can predict the impact of changes in taxes, subsidies, and regulations on the overall economy, helping in designing more effective and efficient policies. Furthermore, AI can help in identifying priority areas and enable more appropriate allocation of government resources, leading to improved social welfare (Jangra et al., 2024).

However, the application of AI in economic decision-making also requires ethical and social considerations, including data privacy issues, algorithm bias, and impact on employment. Accordingly, AI integration must be accompanied by policies that ensure the technology operates in a fair and transparent manner, and provides protection for individuals and communities that may be negatively impacted (Kapkanschikov, 2022). Despite the challenges, the potential for AI to improve economic decision-making is significant, promising improvements in efficiency, production, and more targeted public policies that enhance economic activity and overall well-being.

The integration of AI in economic analysis and decision-making promises to transform towards more informed and accurate decision-making. For example, in the financial sector, AI can be used to improve market trend predictions, identify investment risks, and provide customized recommendations for investors based on complex machine learning models (Khavrova et al., 2021). This can help minimize risks while strategically maximizing returns. In the public sector, the use of AI in understanding and addressing complex socioeconomic issues such as poverty, unemployment, and climate change can open the door to more innovative and impactful solutions (Kim, 2023).

However, it is also important to recognize that such advancements require caution in the management and use of AI. Considerations such as algorithm transparency, accountability in automated decision-making, and mitigation against technological unemployment are important. Therefore, collaboration between AI

developers, economists, policymakers, and other relevant parties will be key to ensure that AI's role in the economy is not only about improving efficiency and productivity, but also about equity and inclusiveness (Kim, 2023).

In conclusion, AI has the potential to change the economic decision-making landscape in ways never seen before, offering powerful new tools for analysis and prediction. With proper utilization, AI can assist in shaping economic strategies that are more responsive, innovative, and efficient. But to achieve its full potential, there needs to be a balanced approach that considers not only the technological advantages but also the social and ethical challenges. As such, the continued evolution of AI in the economy must include an ongoing dialogue between technology, economics, and public policy to ensure that its benefits can be enjoyed by all levels of society.

Challenges of AI Implementation in the Economy

The implementation of artificial intelligence (AI) in the economy faces a series of significant challenges that need to be addressed to ensure its effective and ethical utilization. One of the key challenges is the issue of data privacy and security. In the data-driven digital age, the use of AI often relies on large amounts of data for analysis and learning (Lane et al., 2023). This necessitates access to sensitive personal and financial data of individuals and businesses, posing risks of privacy breaches and potential data misuse. Data collection, processing and storage done without adequate security and privacy arrangements can result in serious consequences, including stolen identities and loss of public trust in institutions (Latypova, 2023).

The second challenge is related to bias and unfairness that may be inherent in AI algorithms. While AI has the potential to increase objectivity in decision-making, algorithms may unintentionally reinforce existing prejudices if the data used to train the system is biased. For example, in credit or lending decision-making, an AI model trained with historical data may preserve or exacerbate discrimination against certain groups (Lestari et al., 2024). Addressing this issue requires ongoing efforts to develop transparent and fair algorithms, as well as robust audit mechanisms to monitor and correct emerging biases (Limsiritong et al., 2021).

Finally, another important challenge is the potential impact of AI on employment and labor market structure. While AI can increase productivity and create new economic opportunities, the resulting automation can also replace human jobs, causing unemployment and economic instability on an unprecedented scale (X. Liu & Tang, 2021). This AI-induced labor market transition requires proactive adaptation strategies, including workforce re-education and retraining, as well as social policies that address income inequality and ensure strong social safety nets. Meeting these challenges requires a multi-disciplinary approach that coordinates between various stakeholders, including governments, the private sector, and the academic community, to ensure a fair and inclusive transition to an AI-enhanced economy (Y. Liu et al., 2024).

Impact of AI on Macro and Microeconomics

At the macroeconomic level, artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to radically transform economic growth, productivity, and income distribution. AI can accelerate innovation, lead to the creation of new goods and services, and enable higher efficiency in production and services. The use of AI in manufacturing, for example, can reduce operational costs and increase output, with machines able to learn and optimize processes automatically (Machlup, 2020). Furthermore, large-scale data acquisition and predictive analytics enabled by AI can assist governments and companies in making more accurate economic forecasts, which in turn improves resource planning and evidence-based decision-making for long-term economic prospects (Mashunin, 2023).

From a microeconomic perspective, AI impacts business functions and consumer decisions in a very detailed and personalized way. Companies can use AI to understand consumer behavior, optimize supply chains, and create dynamic pricing models that can adapt in real-time to changing market conditions (May et al., 2022). AI's assistance in personalizing products and services increases value for consumers and can strengthen brand loyalty. In addition, these technologies enable businesses of all sizes to compete more effectively, analyze market trends, and predict demand with greater precision, directly contributing to the management and success of business operations (Mayer et al., 2023).

However, AI's influence on the economy is not without its challenges. At the macro level, the big question relates to the distribution of gains stemming from AI - whether these technologies will widen the income and prosperity gap or spread it more evenly (Mökander & Axente, 2021). Anxiety about “technological unemployment”, where jobs are replaced by automation, raises the need for policies that support re-education and skills development, research on the potential for employment in new sectors, and redistribution mechanisms that may be needed to mitigate the effects of income disparity (Morgan et al., 2022).

At the micro level, adaptation to the AI apparatus requires significant investment, both in terms of capital and in the development of human capabilities. Small and medium-sized firms may face challenges in accessing advanced technologies or the expertise required to integrate AI into their operations, which may create new entry barriers and increase market concentration in the hands of larger, more capable firms (Omerali & Kaya, 2023). On the other hand, as consumers become more privacy-conscious, they may avoid products or services that rely on invasive data analysis, prompting businesses to find a careful balance between personalization and privacy. In this way, the impact of AI on the microeconomy is not only a technical consideration, but also a social and ethical one, requiring companies to innovate not only in their

product offerings, but also in their business practices and customer relationships (Orlova, 2022).

Looking ahead, the long-term potential of AI in transforming the macro and micro economy cannot be underestimated. In fact, it promises a new era of efficiency, personalization, and innovation. However, to ensure that these benefits are widely and equitably shared across different layers of society, a supportive policy and regulatory framework is required (Pirrone et al., 2021). At the macro level, this could mean the development of fiscal and monetary policies that encourage investment in AI technologies while preventing excessive concentration of wealth. At the same time, public policies that prioritize education and skills building can prepare the workforce for navigating the labor market shifts that AI brings (Pitkäranta & Pitkäranta, 2024).

At the micro level, companies should view AI as a tool that not only supports internal operations, but also as a means to improve customer engagement and satisfaction. Investments in transparency, data privacy, and security will be key to consumer trust in this digital era (Pryimak et al., 2021). In addition, an inclusive approach to technology-that considers how AI can be used to bring broader benefits to society, including people with disabilities and other marginalized groups-will be important for reducing socioeconomic disparities (Qi, 2022).

In conclusion, AI promises to profoundly transform both the macro and micro economies, providing opportunities for unprecedented economic growth and improved quality of life. However, realizing AI's full potential requires responsibility and cooperation between stakeholders across sectors. Government, industry, academia, and society must come together to build a framework that supports innovation while protecting against risk and uncertainty. With a comprehensive and reflective approach to AI development and integration, we can steer this technology to ensure an inclusive, equitable, and sustainable future for all.

Conclusion

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in decision-making can drastically improve operational efficiency and quality of outcomes at the macro- and microeconomic levels. At the macro level, AI has the potential to accelerate economic growth through increased productivity and innovation. The technology enables high-speed analysis of large volumes of data, which supports more accurate planning and evidence-based decision-making. This helps in the management of economic resources, economic forecasting, and the development of more effective public policies to deal with contemporary economic challenges.

At the micro level, AI's ability to process and analyze data in a highly market-specific manner enables businesses to optimize operations, supply chains, and marketing strategies. AI helps in personalization of products and services that enhance customer satisfaction and loyalty, and facilitates dynamic pricing based on real-time

analysis of market demand. The integration of AI in day-to-day operations enables companies to identify efficiencies and capitalize on them, leading to reduced operational costs and improved profit margins.

However, successful AI-based decision-making requires significant investment in technology and skills development, alongside nuanced policies that take ethics and equality into account. Unequal access to advanced technologies can widen the digital and economic divide, while privacy concerns and data issues necessitate a cautious approach to implementation. Therefore, a blend of technological innovation and reflective regulation is key to maximizing AI's economic potential while securing a sustainable and inclusive ecosystem for all.

References

- Abdussamad, Z. (2022). *Buku Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Query date: 2024-05-25 20:59:55. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/juwxn>
- Adlini, M. N., Dinda, A. H., Yulinda, S., Chotimah, O., & Merliyana, S. J. (2022). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Studi Pustaka. *Edumaspul: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 6(1), 974–980. <https://doi.org/10.33487/edumaspul.v6i1.3394>
- Afiyanti, Y. (2008). Focus Group Discussion (Diskusi Kelompok Terfokus) sebagai Metode Pengumpulan Data Penelitian Kualitatif. *Jurnal Keperawatan Indonesia*, 12(1), 58–62. <https://doi.org/10.7454/jki.v12i1.201>
- Alexander, F. J., Reyes, K.-R., Varshney, L. R., & Yoon, B.-J. (2023). AI for Optimal Experimental Design and Decision-Making. *Artificial Intelligence for Science*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 609–625. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811265679_0032
- Alhelou, H. H., & Golshan, M. E. H. (2020). Decision-making-based optimal generation-side secondary-reserve scheduling and optimal LFC in deregulated interconnected power system. *Decision Making Applications in Modern Power Systems*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 269–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-816445-7.00011-6>
- Alkadash, T. M., Allaymouni, M., Almuslemani, A. K., & Ebrahim, Y. S. (2023). Maximizing Organizational Efficiency Through HR Information Systems: A Focus on Decision-Making in Tech Firms. *AI and Business, and Innovation Research: Understanding the Potential and Risks of AI for Modern Enterprises*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 431–439. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-42085-6_36
- Arend, R. J. (2024). Optimal Uncertainty (in Decision-Making). *Uncertainty in Strategic Decision Making*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 137–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48553-4_8
- Bellos, I. (2022). Multicriteria Decision-Making Methods for Optimal Treatment Selection in Network Meta-Analysis. *Medical Decision Making*, 43(1), 78–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x221126678>
- Bentley, A., & Bradford, R. (2023). MSR94 Harnessing AI in Health Economics: Enhancing Efficiency, Accuracy, and Decision-Making. *Value in Health*, 26(12). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2023.09.2153>

- Berber, A. (2023). Automated decision-making and the problem of evil. *AI & SOCIETY*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01814-x>
- Braaten, D. (2022). Decision letter for 'Predictive models for human–AI nexus in group decision making'. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14783/v3/decision1>
- Caro-Burnett, J., & Kaneko, S. (2022). Is Society Ready for AI Ethical Decision Making? Lessons from a Study on Autonomous Cars. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 98(Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39), 101881–101881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2022.101881>
- Chernega, O., Yakovenko, U., Chepurnova, A., & Makieieva, O. (2021). 1 Features of making managerial decisions in a crisis at the micro and macro levels. *Econometric Modeling of Managerial Decisions at the Macro and Micro Levels*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.15587/978-617-7319-37-4.ch1>
- Chia, Y. F. (2021). The Economics of Sanction Regimes and Criminal Decision-Making. *Economics in Practice*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 217–237. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811250026_0011
- Cummings, M. L. (2024). A Taxonomy for AI Hazard Analysis. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15553434231224096>
- Facchini, F., Digiesi, S., & Mossa, G. (2020). Optimal dry port configuration for container terminals: A non-linear model for sustainable decision making. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 219(Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39), 164–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.06.004>
- Farhadi, A. (2024). Awareness-based Choice Selection: Improving the Decision-making Efficiency by Using Known Information. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.32388/5k6umy>
- Gachot, C. (2020). Decision letter for 'Friction characteristics of moving joint surface from the micro and macro scale'. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ilt-11-2019-0476/v4/decision1>
- George, N., Kadan, A. B., & Vijayan, V. P. (2023). Multi-objective load balancing in cloud infrastructure through fuzzy based decision making and genetic algorithm based optimization. *IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)*, 12(2), 678–678. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijai.v12.i2.pp678-685>
- Ghanvatkar, S., & Rajan, V. (2024). Evaluating Explanations from AI Algorithms for Clinical Decision-Making: A Social Science-based Approach. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.26.24303365>
- Guercini, S. (2023). Decision making based on heuristics in the marketing literature. *Marketing Automation and Decision Making*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 13–37. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035312870.00009>
- Guo, Y., Huang, C., Sheng, Y., Zhang, W., Ye, X., Lian, H., Xu, J., & Chen, Y. (2023). Improve the efficiency and accuracy of ophthalmologists' clinical decision-making based on AI technology. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2646377/v1>

- Hajisafi, A. (2023). *Optimizing Marketing Decisions Through a Structured Decision-Making Model Based on Marketing Engineering Principles*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202306.1129.v1>
- Hasannejadasl, H., Offermann, C., Essink, E., Dekker, A., Roumen, C., & Fijten, R. (2023). *Patients' Attitudes Towards the Use of AI-Based Decision Aids for Breast Cancer Treatment Decision-Making: A Qualitative Study*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3166678/v1>
- Hsieh, F.-S. (2023). Improve Decision Making Efficiency in Ridesharing Systems through a Hybrid Firefly-PSO algorithm. *2023 IEEE World AI IoT Congress (AllIoT)*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1109/aiiot58121.2023.10174375>
- Huang, Y., & Wang, M. (2023). *Heterogeneous Multi-Attribute Group Decision Making Based on a Fuzzy Data Envelopment Analysis Cross-Efficiency Model*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4355593>
- Hüllmann, J. A. (2022). Explainable AI in Farming: Configurations of Human-AI Joint Decision-Making. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4224804>
- Jangra, G., Irfan, M., Jangra, M., & Verma, C. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Approach to Portfolio Management. *Issues of Sustainability in AI and New-Age Thematic Investing*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 59–73. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3282-5.ch004>
- Kapkanshchikov, S. G. (2022). Evidential policy in the management decision making mechanism at the macro level. *Mezhdunarodnaja Jekonomika (The World Economics)*, 10, 743–756. <https://doi.org/10.33920/vne-04-2210-05>
- Khavrova, K., Chernega, O., Lokhman, N., & Kolchuk, M. (2021). 8 Making optimal decisions based on the development of the innovative potential of personnel. *Econometric Modeling of Managerial Decisions at the Macro and Micro Levels*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 151–164. <https://doi.org/10.15587/978-617-7319-37-4.ch8>
- Kim, H. (2023). Suggestions for Ethical Decision-Making Model through Collaboration between Human and AI. *J-INSTITUTE*, 8(Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39), 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.22471/ai.2023.8.12>
- Lane, P., Lafferty, R., Jackson, E., & Lafferty, C. (2023). CHANGING EDUCATION WITH AI: MACRO TO MICRO. *ICERl2023 Proceedings*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.21125/iceri.2023.0764>
- Latypova, V. (2023). Decision-Making Support in Optimal Multicriteria Peer Reviewer Selection in Scientific Conference Organization. *2023 5th International Conference on Control Systems, Mathematical Modeling, Automation and Energy Efficiency (SUMMA)*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.1109/summa60232.2023.10349642>
- Lestari, R., Windarwati, H. D., & Hidayah, R. (2024). AI-Driven Decision-Making Applications in Higher Education. *Advances in Media, Entertainment, and the Arts*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 246–268. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0639-0.ch011>
- Limsiritong, T., Furutani, T., & Limsiritong, K. (2021). A Challenge of Macro-Meso-Micro Analysis Impacts on Multiracial Nationality Decision Making :Multiracial Thai-

- Japanese in Bangkok. *Inclusive Society and Sustainability Studies*, 1(2), 10–23. <https://doi.org/10.31098/issues.v1i2.707>
- Liu, X., & Tang, J. (2021). Network representation learning: A macro and micro view. *AI Open*, 2(Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39), 43–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiopen.2021.02.001>
- Liu, Y., Zhao, L., Tian, J., Liu, J., & Wang, L. (2024). *AI-Based Personalised Clinical Decision Making for Localised Prostate Cancer Patients: Surgery Versus Radiotherapy*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4720746>
- Machlup, F. (2020). Micro- and Macro-Economics. *Economic Semantics*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 97–144. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429336959-7>
- Mashunin, Y. (2023). Digital Transformation of Optimal Decision-making in Economic and Engineering Systems Based on the Theory and Methods of Vector Optimization. *Modern Intelligent Times*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.53964/mit.2023007>
- May, B., Milne, R., Shawyer, A., Meenaghan, A., & Ribbers, E. (2022). *Identifying Challenges to Critical Incident Decision-Making through a Macro-, Meso-, Micro-Lens: A Systematic Synthesis and Holistic Narrative Analysis*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1835388/v1>
- Mayer, B., Fuchs, F., & Lingnau, V. (2023). Decision-making in the era of AI support—How decision environment and individual decision preferences affect advice-taking in forecasts. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics*, 16(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1037/npe0000170>
- Mökander, J., & Axente, M. (2021). Ethics-based auditing of automated decision-making systems: Intervention points and policy implications. *AI & SOCIETY*, 38(1), 153–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01286-x>
- Morgan, D., Hashem, Y., Straub, V. J., & Bright, J. (2022). *High-stakes team based public sector decision making and AI oversight*. Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/arq3w>
- Omerali, M., & Kaya, T. (2023). Energy Efficiency Optimization Application in Food Production Using IIOT Based Machine Learning. *Applied Innovation and Technology Management*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 185–204. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-38387-8_11
- Orlova, E. V. (2022). Design Technology and AI-Based Decision Making Model for Digital Twin Engineering. *Future Internet*, 14(9), 248–248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi14090248>
- Pirrone, A., Reina, A., & Gobet, F. (2021). Input-dependent noise can explain magnitude-sensitivity in optimal value-based decision-making. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 16(5), 1221–1233. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1930297500008408>
- Pitkäranta, T., & Pitkäranta, L. (2024). Bridging Human and AI Decision-Making with LLMs: The RAGADA Approach. *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems*, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0012705000003690>
- Pryimak, N., Khavrova, K., Kravtsov, A., & Klevtsov, E. (2021). 9 Making optimal strategic decisions in conditions of weakly structured systems using cognitive modeling techniques. *Econometric Modeling of Managerial Decisions at the Macro and Micro*

Levels, Query date: 2024-06-07 06:45:39, 165–181. <https://doi.org/10.15587/978-617-7319-37-4.ch9>

Qi, H. (2022). OPTIMAL DECISION-MAKING IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY UNDER BUSINESS RISK. *STUDIES IN ECONOMICS AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCE*, 2(1), 67–87. <https://doi.org/10.47509/seif.2022.v02i01.05>